
PRESS RELEASE

Formal Complaints Filed Alleging Widespread Prosecutorial Misconduct, Brady Violations, and Abuse of RICO in the Prosecution of Mr. Robert Sylvester Kelly

For Immediate Release

Formal complaints have been submitted to the appropriate oversight and professional responsibility offices detailing extensive prosecutorial misconduct, Brady violations, witness coercion, and attorney misconduct in the prosecution of **Mr. Robert Sylvester Kelly** across multiple jurisdictions, including New York and Illinois.

The submissions outline a pattern of unethical conduct by prosecutors, including **Nadia Shihata, Elizabeth Geddes, Maria Cruz Melendez, and Angel Krull**, as well as failures by private defense counsel, which collectively deprived Mr. Kelly of his constitutional right to a fair trial.

Key Findings Raised in the Submissions Include:

1. Brady Violations and Suppression of Exculpatory Evidence

Prosecutors failed to investigate, verify, and disclose critical exculpatory evidence, including public records and witness testimony establishing that key narratives presented to the court were false. This includes evidence confirming that a central witness was living and touring in Germany during the time a videotape was created, making her involvement impossible.

2. Fabrication and False Testimony Regarding the Videotape

Public interviews by the ex-boyfriend of key witness **Lisa Van Allen**—available on YouTube and archived media—state that she plotted and created the videotape with **two men from Kansas who portrayed Mr. Kelly**. These same individuals were later caught online boasting that Mr. Kelly was not on the tape and implying they were the individuals depicted. Despite this, prosecutors granted Lisa Van Allen immunity to testify while threatening her with imprisonment when she attempted to withdraw, allowing false testimony to be presented under oath.

3. Inappropriate Prosecutorial Relationships With Material Witnesses

Documented communications reveal prosecutors engaging in undisclosed, personal, and inappropriate contact with a central witness, including after-hours communications, affectionate language, and undocumented

meetings. This conduct created an appearance of impropriety and compromised prosecutorial neutrality.

4. Misrepresentation of the Aaliyah Narrative

New York prosecutors presented a false and inflammatory narrative regarding Aaliyah despite testimony from **Demetrius Smith**, who confirmed Mr. Kelly was not involved in obtaining false identification and that the relationship was a publicity showmance used to promote her album. Aaliyah's mother publicly stated after the documentary that claims of abuse were false, that she and her husband were always present, and that no inappropriate conduct ever occurred. No evidence of sexual interaction was ever produced.

5. False Portrayal of Azriel Clary

Prosecutors relied on demonstrably false representations that Azriel Clary was a long-term live-in girlfriend. In reality, she was an intern who lived independently and repeatedly acknowledged in letters that Mr. Kelly rejected her advances. Prosecutors misrepresented evidence to suggest intimacy while she was underage, despite direct contradictions in the record.

6. Reliance on Impossible and Inconsistent Testimony

Witnesses testified to events that could not have occurred, including claims of recordings made on devices that did not exist at the time. Other witnesses were coached for months yet could not maintain consistent testimony under oath. No physical evidence of abuse was found despite extensive raids of Mr. Kelly's properties.

7. Abuse of Pretrial Detention and Financial Deprivation

Prosecutors attached unrelated actions by individuals Mr. Kelly did not know to claims of witness tampering, leading to repeated bond denials. They blocked access to Mr. Kelly's funds without evidence, denying him the ability to retain counsel of choice or meaningfully participate in his defense—despite knowing he has dyslexia and lacked access to his own motions.

8. Improper and Misapplied Use of RICO

The RICO charge was fundamentally inappropriate. Mr. Kelly was not part of any criminal enterprise, conspiracy, or coordinated group. RICO was used to improperly expand liability, introduce prejudicial character evidence, and manufacture associations that did not exist, resulting in extreme jury bias and an abuse of prosecutorial discretion.

Request for Investigation

The submissions formally request comprehensive investigations into prosecutorial misconduct, ethical violations, Brady violations, coercion of

witnesses, misuse of immunity, improper personal relationships with witnesses, ineffective assistance of counsel, and the misuse of RICO statutes.

These complaints assert that Mr. Robert Sylvester Kelly was **falsely charged and convicted through coordinated misconduct, suppression of evidence, and narrative manipulation**, rather than proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Further documentation, witness statements, public records, and digital evidence supporting these claims have been submitted and remain available for review.