

# **FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

## **Civil Rights Complaint Filed Requesting Federal Investigation Into Coordinated Pre-Trial Actions Affecting Robert Sylvester Kelly**

**07 February 2026**

Juliet Tugume, partner of Robert Sylvester Kelly (widely known as R. Kelly), has formally submitted a civil rights complaint requesting a federal investigation into coordinated actions by private actors and government officials that allegedly deprived Mr. Kelly of constitutional protections prior to any adjudication of guilt.

The complaint documents a pattern of conduct that includes pre-trial economic deprivation, public pre-judgment of guilt, dissemination of unverified allegations as fact to government officials, and the alleged disregard of exculpatory evidence. It requests review by appropriate federal authorities to determine whether these actions resulted in violations of civil and constitutional rights, as it is believed that they do.

“This complaint does not challenge the right to advocacy or public discourse,” said Tugume. “It asks whether coordinated campaigns, when combined with government action, resulted in the denial of fundamental constitutional protections—particularly due process, the presumption of innocence, and access to a fair trial.”

### **Summary of Issues Raised in the Complaint**

#### **Pre-Trial Media and Narrative Construction**

The complaint alleges that documentary producers and affiliated parties created media content that presented allegations as established fact while excluding substantial contrary testimony. These materials were widely disseminated prior to trial and amplified through coordinated campaigns.

#### **Coordinated Economic Deprivation Prior to Trial**

Advocacy groups, including #MuteRKelly and affiliated organizations, publicly documented coordinated efforts to cancel concerts, pressure corporate partners, and block Mr. Kelly from performing or traveling. These actions allegedly resulted in the cancellation of at least 13 concerts and a significant loss of income before any criminal conviction.

The complaint asserts that the cumulative economic impact impaired Mr. Kelly’s ability to fund an independent legal defense, raising concerns regarding access to counsel and due process.

#### **Coordination With Government Officials**

According to the complaint, advocacy groups directly contacted and met with government officials in multiple jurisdictions. Communications reportedly asserted that allegations against

Mr. Kelly were factual and demanded immediate action, despite no trial having occurred and without review of evidentiary records.

Public statements by these groups subsequently claimed responsibility for official actions that blocked performances or access to venues, demonstrating coordination and material effect.

### **Public Pre-Judgment and Trial Environment**

The complaint details repeated public assertions that allegations were “undeniably true,” alongside public shaming of individuals who expressed doubt. It argues that these actions contributed to widespread pre-trial prejudice and an environment incompatible with the presumption of innocence.

### **Legal and Judicial Concerns**

The filing also raises concerns regarding the conduct of attorneys and prosecutors, including the submission of false or misleading statements, failure to correct known inaccuracies, concealment of exculpatory information, and judicial inaction despite repeated written warnings over multiple years.

### **Request for Federal Review**

The civil rights complaint formally requests an investigation into whether the combined conduct of private actors and government officials resulted in:

- Deprivation of due process
- Impairment of the right to a fair and impartial trial
- Interference with the ability to earn a livelihood necessary to secure legal counsel
- Potential civil rights violations arising from coordinated action and reliance on unverified or misleading assertions

“The issue raised is not popularity or public opinion,” Tugume stated. “It is whether coordinated pre-trial actions crossed the line into punishment without adjudication and whether constitutional safeguards were effectively bypassed.”

### **About the Complainant**

Juliet Tugume is the partner of Robert Sylvester Kelly and has spent several years documenting alleged procedural irregularities, economic deprivation, and rights-based concerns related to his prosecution. She resides in [REDACTED], and is seeking transparency, accountability, and independent review by federal authorities.

---

**Media Contact:**

Juliet Tugume



(Additional contact information available upon request)